**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

AUG 01 2006

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

GENARO GAMBOA PEREZ,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

Nos. 04-73607 05-70433

Agency No. A76-374-112

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 24, 2006 \*\*

Before: ALARCÓN, HAWKINS, and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Genaro Gamboa Perez, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his motion to

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

reopen removal proceedings and its previous decision denying his application for

cancellation of removal. We dismiss in part and deny in part the petition for

review.

We lack jurisdiction to review the BIA's discretionary determination that

Gamboa Perez failed to show exceptional and extremely unusual hardship. See

Romero-Torres v. Ashcroft, 327 F.3d 887, 890 (9th Cir. 2003).

The BIA did not abuse its discretion by denying the motion to reopen,

because the BIA considered the evidence Gamboa Perez submitted and acted

within its broad discretion in determining that the evidence was insufficient to

warrant reopening. See Singh v. INS, 295 F.3d 1037, 1039 (9th Cir. 2002) (The

BIA's denial of a motion to reopen shall be reversed only if it is "arbitrary,

irrational or contrary to law.").

No. 04-73607: DISMISSED.

No. 05-70433: DENIED.

2